Nearshore Habitat Mapping in Puget Sound Using Side Scan Sonar and Underwater Video
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Introduction
King County has initiated environmental studies on the northern King County and southern Snohomish County portion of the nearshore environment in Puget Sound to support the siting of a new wastewater treatment plant outfall. The primary objective of our study was to develop accurate maps of nearshore habitat resources within the Snohomish/King County study area. The results of the study provide a critical basis for assessing the aquatic habitats and fisheries resources within the nearshore zone (defined as +1m to –30m mean lower low water [MLLW] between Shilshole Marina in Seattle and Picnic Point, slightly north of Edmonds (Figure 1). The depth range was selected to cover low intertidal and shallow subtidal habitats, including potential rockfish habitat. Our report, presented to King County (Woodruff and others 2001), documents in detail the methods used to map the nearshore environment and provides georeferenced maps and summary tables of substrate, vegetation, fish, and macroinvertebrates. Additional information on the status of site selection is available at http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wtd/brightwater/. A summary of the project is provided in this paper.

Methods
Twenty-two contiguous kilometers of nearshore habitat were mapped in the study area during the fall of 1999 using a combination of side scan sonar and underwater video technologies. The study area was divided into 12 subareas (A through L) for ease of data collection and analysis (Figure 1). All data were collected along predetermined survey track lines. Sonar track lines were set parallel to shore, with 85 m between survey lines and an approximate 40 percent overlap. A GeoAcoustics LTD dual-frequency side scan sonar system was towed to collect real-time, geo-referenced, seafloor mosaic data with overlapping edges matched to form a continuous profile of the bottom. An underwater video camera was towed on survey track lines parallel to and 15 m shoreward of the sonar tracklines. Video footage was also collected from 70 tracklines perpendicular to shore in areas selected by King County. All video data was recorded with the geographic position digitally overlaid on the video signal, allowing georeferenced post-processing of the data at a later date.

The side scan sonar was used to delineate edges of eelgrass beds and areas of sparse, patchy, or dense eelgrass (Zostera marina), and substrate type. An example of a side scan sonar image showing eelgrass patches is shown in Figure 2. The underwater video was used to verify the signature observed in the sonar imagery, and to map the presence and location of kelp beds (Nereocystis luetkeana), other macroalgae, benthic macroinvertebrates and fish. The side scan images, combined with the video footage were post-processed to develop GIS map layers of these resources.
Results

A total of 144 km of video data and 1033 hectares of side scan sonar data were collected during the survey. The dominant substrate type was sand, occurring in 90% of the study area. A mixed coarse substrate that included gravel, shell, and/or cobble occurred to a lesser extent (9% of the study area) and was generally noted close to shore with a few exceptions. Rocky habitat was negligible in the study area. Eelgrass occurred in all subareas (A through L), covering approximately 260 hectares (23% of the study area). Eelgrass occurred to a depth of –7m MLLW with dense coverage (80 hectares) often associated with a steeper slope, and moderate or patchy coverage (126 hectares) associated with a shallow slope. Sparse eelgrass coverage (50 hectares) was usually found on the inner (shallow) or outer (deep) edges of denser eelgrass meadows. Kelp was negligible (2.6% of the study area) with the exception of several areas, including the Edmonds Underwater Marine Park where its extensive presence precluded towing and was not included in the mapped area. Approximately two thirds of the kelp occurred in mixed coarse substrate. The rest occurred in sand, and was usually located on the outer fringes of eelgrass meadows. *Ulva* spp. was present in all subareas, often in close association with eelgrass, and other species of macroalgae. An example of a GIS mapped coverage of eelgrass, kelp and substrate type from two subareas (E and F) is shown in Figure 3.

Species of fish recorded on video were identified based on their schooling or non-schooling behavior. A total of 775 sitings of non-schooling fish were recorded on transects oriented perpendicular and parallel to shore. Estimates of total schooling fish ranged from 16,000 and 27,000. Predominant schooling species were tubsnout (*Aulorhynchus flavidus*), found primarily in eelgrass habitat, and shiner surfperch (*Cymatogaster aggregata*), found in open sand habitat. Flatfish and ratfish, the most common non-schooling species, were usually found on sand. The few rockfish and lingcod observed, occurred primarily on sand. An example of fish species recorded from video data in one subarea (E) is shown in Figure 4. The predominant benthic macroinvertebrates recorded on video included sea anemones (*Metridium spp.*), orange sea pens (*Ptilosarcus gurneyi*), and various sea stars. These occurred principally in open sand habitat.
Figure 3. Example of GIS mapped polygon coverage of eelgrass, kelp, and substrate type.
Figure 4. Example of fish species and location information collected with underwater video.
Conclusions
This study is the first to provide complete GIS coverage maps of major nearshore benthic habitats over a large area in Puget Sound. The combination of side scan sonar and underwater video provided a useful tool for conducting relatively rapid and extremely high resolution mapping of nearshore community relationships. Side scan sonar provided high spatial resolution and accuracy for development of eelgrass habitat and substrate maps. The underwater video provided excellent ground truthing of the sonar data, as well as a dataset of fish, macroalgae, and macroinvertebrate locations spatially referenced to habitat type. These data will be further analyzed and used in future studies related to the north treatment facility marine outfall siting study process.
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